Case 3:08-cr-01007-JLS Document 8-2 Filed 05/01/2008 Page 1 of 7 HANNI M. FAKHOURY 1 California Bar No. 252629 FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF SAN DIEGO, INC. 225 Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, California 92101-5008 3 Telephone: (619) 234-8467 Hanni_Fakhoury@fd.org 4 5 Attorneys for Mr. Negron 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 (HONORABLE JANIS L. SAMMARTINO) 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO.: 08CR1007-JLS 12 Plaintiff, STATEMENT OF FACTS AND 13 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND v. **AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTIONS** MIGUEL ANGEL NEGRON, 14 15 Defendant. 16 I. 17 18 STATEMENT OF FACTS 19 On April 2, 2008, a one count indictment was handed down by the January 2007 grand jury charging 20 Mr. Negron with attempted entry after deportation, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. 21 II. 22 MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AND PRESERVE EVIDENCE 23 Mr. Negron moves for production of the following discovery. This request is not limited to those 24 items that the prosecutor knows of, but rather includes all discovery listed below that is in the custody, 25 control, care, or knowledge of any "closely related investigative [or other] agencies." See United States v. Bryan, 868 F.2d 1032 (9th Cir. 1989). Specifically, Mr. Negron moves for the production of the following 26 27 evidence: 28 ///

- 1. Mr. Negron's Statements. Mr. Negron requests the government disclose any and all written, recorded and oral statements made by him, as well any written summaries of his oral statements contained in the handwritten notes of any Government agent. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(A). The Advisory Committee Notes and the 1991 amendments to Rule 16 make clear that the Government must reveal all of Mr. Negron's statements, whether written or oral, regardless of whether the Government intends to make any use of those statements. Mr. Negron specifically requests all audio and videotaped copies of his statements and any rough notes taken pertaining to the substance of his statements. Mr. Negron requests that the government provide him with a court-certified transcript of the compact disc depicting Mr. Negron's post-arrest interrogation by the agents. Furthermore, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(B)(i), Mr. Negron requests copies of the audio tapes of any taped telephone call made while he was or is in custody.
- 2. Arrest Reports, Notes and Dispatch Tapes. Mr. Negron requests the Government to turn over all arrest reports, notes, dispatch or any other tapes and TECS records that relate to the circumstances surrounding his arrest or any questioning. This request includes, but is not limited to, any rough notes, records, reports, transcripts or other documents in which statements of Mr. Negron or any other discoverable material is contained. Such material is discoverable under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(A) and Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). The Government must produce arrest reports, investigator's notes, memos from arresting officers, dispatch tapes, sworn statements, and prosecution reports pertaining to Mr. Negron. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(B) and (c), Fed. R. Crim. P. 26.2. Specifically, Mr. Negron requests copies of any Report of Investigation ("ROI") or Record of Deportable/Inadmissible Alien ("I-213") written in his case.
- 3. Mr. Negron's "A-File." Mr. Negron requests the Court enter an order giving him the opportunity for his attorney and/or a defense investigator to inspect and copy the entire contents of his immigration "A-File" at a time mutually convenient to both parties. A proposed order will be sent via electronic mail to Chambers as well as to the Assistant United States Attorney.
- 4. <u>Brady Material</u>. Mr. Negron requests all documents, statements, agents' reports, and tangible evidence favorable to Mr. Negron on the issue of guilt and/or which affects the credibility of the Government's witnesses and the Government's case. Under <u>Brady</u>, impeachment as well as exculpatory

evidence falls within the definition of evidence favorable to the accused. <u>United States v. Bagley</u>, 473 U.S. 667 (1985); <u>United States v. Agurs</u>, 427 U.S. 97 (1976). Further, <u>Brady</u> requires the government disclose any information that may result in a lower sentence under the sentencing guidelines, notwithstanding its advisory nature, because it is exculpatory and/or mitigating evidence.

- Mr. Negron's Prior Record. Mr. Negron requests disclosure of his prior criminal record. Fed.
 R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(B).
- 6. Any Proposed 404(b) Evidence. Evidence of prior similar acts is discoverable under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(c) and Fed. R. Evid. 404(b) and 609. In addition, under Fed. R. Evid. 404(b), "upon request of the accused, the prosecution . . . shall provide reasonable notice in advance of trial . . . of the general nature" of any evidence the government proposes to introduce under Fed. R. Evid. 404(b) at trial. Mr. Negron requests the government "articulate precisely the evidential hypothesis by which a fact of consequence may be inferred from the other acts evidence." United States v. Mehrmanesh, 689 F.2d 822, 830 (9th Cir. 1982) (emphasis added; internal citations omitted); see also United States v. Brooke, 4 F.3d 1480, 1483 (9th Cir. 1993) (reaffirming Mehrmanesh and reversing convictions). Mr. Negron requests three weeks notice before trial to give the defense time to adequately investigate and prepare for trial.
- 7. **Evidence Seized.** Mr. Negron requests production of evidence seized as a result of any search, either warrantless or with a warrant. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(c).
- 8. Request for Preservation of Evidence. Mr. Negron specifically requests the preservation of all physical evidence that may be destroyed, lost, or otherwise put out of the possession, custody, or care of the Government and which relates to the arrest or the events leading to the arrest in this case. This request includes, but is not limited to, the results of any fingerprint analysis, Mr. Negron's personal effects, and any evidence seized from Mr. Negron.
- 9. <u>Tangible Objects</u>. Mr. Negron requests the opportunity to inspect, copy, and test, as necessary, all other documents and tangible objects, including photographs, books, papers, documents, fingerprint analyses, or copies of portions thereof, which are material to the defense, intended for use in the Government's case-in-chief, or were obtained from or belong to Mr. Negron. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(c). Specifically, Mr. Negron requests copies of the audio tapes of his alleged prior deportations or removals.

- 10. Expert Witnesses. Mr. Negron requests the name, qualifications, and a written summary of the testimony of any person that the Government intends to call as an expert witness during its case in chief. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E). The defense requests the notice of expert testimony be provided a minimum of two weeks prior to trial so the defense can properly prepare to address and respond to this testimony, including obtaining its own expert and/or investigating the opinions, credentials of the Government's expert and a hearing in advance of trial to determine the admissibility of qualifications of any expert. See Kumho Tire Co., Ltd. v. Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137, 152 (1999) (trial judge is "gatekeeper" and must determine, reliability and relevancy of expert testimony and such determinations may require "special briefing or other proceedings").
- 11. <u>Scientific and Other Information</u>. Mr. Negron requests the results of any scientific or other tests or examinations conducted by any Government agency or their subcontractors in connection with this case. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(D).
- 12. <u>Henthorn Material.</u> Mr. Negron requests that the Assistant United States Attorney ("AUSA") assigned to this case oversee (not personally conduct) a review of all personnel files of each agent involved in the present case for impeachment material. <u>See Kyles v. Whitley</u>, 514 U.S. 419 (1995) (holding that "the individual prosecutor has a duty to learn of any favorable evidence known to the others acting on the Government's behalf in the case, including the police"); <u>United States v. Henthorn</u>, 931 F.2d 29 (9th Cir. 1991); <u>United States v. Jennings</u>, 960 F.2d 1488 (9th Cir. 1992) (AUSA may not be ordered to personally conduct examination of records; appropriate Government agency may review files and notify AUSA of contents as long as AUSA makes the determination regarding material to be disclosed); <u>United States v. Herring</u>, 83 F.3d 1120 (9th Cir. 1996) (accord).
- 13. Evidence of Bias or Motive to Lie. Mr. Negron requests any evidence that any prospective Government witness is biased or prejudiced against Mr. Negron, or has a motive to falsify or distort his or her testimony.
- 14. <u>Impeachment Evidence</u>. Mr. Negron requests any evidence that any prospective Government witness has engaged in any criminal act whether or not resulting in a conviction and whether any witness has made a statement favorable to Mr. Negron. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Evid. 608, 609 and 613; <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, 373 U.S. 83 (1963).

alcoholic.

16. Evidence Affecting Perception, Recollection, Ability to Communicate, or Truth Telling.

Mr. Negron requests any evidence, including any medical or psychiatric report or evaluation, that tends to show that any prospective witness' ability to perceive, remember, communicate, or tell the truth is impaired, and any evidence that a witness has ever used narcotics or other controlled substances, or has ever been an

15. Evidence of Criminal Investigation of Any Government Witness. Mr. Negron requests any

evidence that any prospective witness is under investigation by federal, state or local authorities for any

- 17. <u>Witness Addresses</u>. Mr. Negron requests the name and last known address of each prospective Government witness. Mr. Negron also requests the name and last known address of every witness to the crime or crimes charged (or any of the overt acts committed in furtherance thereof) who will not be called as a Government witness.
- 18. <u>Name of Witnesses Favorable to Mr. Negron</u>. Mr. Negron requests the name of any witness who made an arguably favorable statement concerning Mr. Negron or who could not identify him or who was unsure of his identity, or participation in the crime charged.
- 19. <u>Statements Relevant to the Defense</u>. Mr. Negron requests disclosure of any statement relevant to any possible defense or contention that he might assert in his defense.
- 20. Giglio Information & Agreements Between the Government and Witnesses. Pursuant to Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), Mr. Negron requests all statements and/or promises, express or implied, made to any witness, in exchange for their testimony in this case, and all other information which could be used for impeachment. Mr. Negron also requests discovery regarding any other express or implicit promise, understanding, offer of immunity, of past, present, or future compensation, or any other kind of agreement, promise, or understanding, including any implicit understanding relating to criminal or civil income tax, forfeiture or fine liability, between any prospective Government witness and the Government (federal, state and/or local). This request also includes any discussion with a potential witness about or advice concerning any contemplated prosecution, or any possible plea bargain, even if no bargain was made, or the advice not followed, and specifically includes any discussion with a potential witness regarding that witness' immigration status and/or any affect that the witness' statements or lack thereof might have on that

28 ///

///

status, including the granting or revoking of such immigration status or any other immigration status, including but not limited to citizenship, nationality, a green card, border crossing card, parole letter, or permission to remain in the United States.

- 21. <u>Informants and Cooperating Witnesses</u>. Mr. Negron requests disclosure of the names and addresses of all informants or cooperating witnesses used or to be used in this case, and in particular, disclosure of any informant who was a percipient witness in this case or otherwise participated in the crime charged against Mr. Negron. The Government must disclose the informant's identity and location, as well as the existence of any other percipient witness unknown or unknowable to the defense. <u>Roviaro v. United States</u>, 353 U.S. 53, 61-62 (1957). The Government must disclose any information derived from informants which exculpates or tends to exculpate Mr. Negron. Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963)
- 22. <u>Bias by Informants or Cooperating Witnesses</u>. Mr. Negron requests disclosure of any information indicating bias on the part of any informant or cooperating witness. <u>Giglio v. United States</u>, 405 U.S. 150 (1972). Such information includes, but is not limited to, any inducements, favors, payments or threats that were made to the witness in order to secure cooperation with the authorities.
- 23. **Jencks Act Material.** Mr. Negron requests production in advance of trial of all material, including dispatch tapes, which the Government must produce pursuant to the Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3500. Advance production will avoid the possibility of delay at trial to allow Mr. Negron to investigate the Jencks material. A verbal acknowledgment that "rough" notes constitute an accurate account of the witness' interview is sufficient for the report or notes to qualify as a statement under § 3500(e)(1). Campbell v. United States, 373 U.S. 487, 490-92 (1963); see United States v. Boshell, 952 F.2d 1101 (9th Cir. 1991) (agent's interview notes reviewed with interviewee subject to Jencks Act).
- 24. **Residual Request.** Mr. Negron intends by this discovery motion to invoke his rights to discovery to the fullest extent possible under the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and the Constitution and laws of the United States. Mr. Negron requests that the Government provide him and his attorney with the above requested material sufficiently in advance of trial to avoid unnecessary delay prior to cross-examination.

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE ADDITIONAL MOTIONS

III.

Defense counsel has received 141 pages of discovery in this case. As more information comes to light, due to the Government providing additional discovery in response to these motions or an order of this Court, the defense may find it necessary to file further motions. It is, therefore, requested that defense counsel be allowed the opportunity to file further motions based upon information gained through the discovery process. Specifically, defense counsel has not yet had an opportunity to examine Mr. Negron' immigration A-file yet. After examining his A-file, Mr. Negron anticipates he may file a motion to attack any alleged deportations. Mr. Negron thus requests an opportunity to file further motions upon review of his A-file.

IV.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Mr. Negron respectfully requests that the Court grant the above motions.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 1, 2008 s/ Hanni M. Fakhoury

HANNI M. FAKHOURY

Federal Defenders of San Diego, Inc.

Attorneys for Mr. Negron